BANK OF ANYTOWN REPORT SUMMARY FORMAT

OVERVIEW

The following is a sample examination report completed using the Instructions for Summary Report of Examination.

In This Section

ТОРІС	SEE PAGE:
EXAMINER'S COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS	1.1
SUMMARY OF VIOLATIONS	2.1
COMPLIANCE – SUPERVISORY SECTION A.1	
FDIC COMPLIANCE DATA ENTRY FORM	E-12

March 1, 1997 (Rev. 1) PART VII: E-1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ANYTOWN BANK ANYTOWN ANY COUNTY ANYSTATE

Region: Any

Examiner in Charge: Kristen I. Apple

Examination Date: February 12, 19XX

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A review of compliance with applicable consumer protection and fair lending laws and regulations was conducted at Anytown Bank, Anytown, Any State, beginning February 12, 19XX. The review included an evaluation of the financial institution's Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) performance.

CONSUMER COMPLIANCE RATING

A Consumer Compliance Rating of "1" is assigned. An institution in this category is in a strong compliance position. Refer to supporting information below.

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT RATING

A CRA rating of "Satisfactory" is assigned. An institution in this group has a satisfactory record of helping to meet the credit needs of its entire assessment area, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, in a manner consistent with its resources and capabilities. Refer to supporting information below and in the public CRA Performance Evaluation.

SUMMARY OF VIOLATIONS

A copy of the Summary of Violations pages was provided to, and acknowledged by, President William Jones on March 26, 19XX. No violations of a substantive nature were identified during the examination. These violations neither individually, nor collectively, represent serious concern. Management is encouraged to review these violations and to take corrective action, where such action has not already been initiated.

COMPLIANCE MANAGEMENT

The limited volume of violations identified during this examination reflects that an effective compliance program, including a system of internal procedures and controls, has been established. Recordkeeping systems and employee training are considered above average. Vice President and Compliance Officer Mary Smith is administering an effective program. Changes in consumer laws and regulations are promptly addressed in bank policies and procedures and deficiencies receive immediate corrective action. Support for the compliance program, which includes training, periodic audits, and legal services, is provided by the institution's holding company which includes training, periodic audits, and legal services.

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT

The institution has satisfactorily performed under the CRA. The majority of the institution's loans originated since the previous evaluation were within the assessment area. Additionally, the distribution of loans by geographies and borrower income levels is consistent with the composition of the assessment area. The above three factors, along with the institution's acceptable level of lending activity, fully support the satisfactory rating. Refer to the CRA Performance Evaluation for a complete discussion of the institution's CRA accomplishments.

FDIC 6410/16 (12-93)	1	1
1 DIC 0410/10 (14-23)	1	. т

EXAMINER'S COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

00000

MEETING WITH MANAGEMENT

Examination findings were presented in a closing management meeting on March 26, 19XX. Management representatives included Chairman of the Board John Doe, President William Jones and Vice President and Compliance Officer Mary Smith. Representing the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation were Examiners Kristen I. Apple and Daniel R. Ross. Discussion centered on the Summary of Violations presented to management and upon the bank's performance regarding the Community Reinvestment Act. Management was informed that the Summary of Violations pages were the official record of violations identified during the examination. The recommended composite ratings for compliance and Community Reinvestment were disclosed, and management was commended for the bank's continued strong compliance performance. Management was receptive to examiners comments and recommendations.

Examiner (Signature)	Regional Director (Signature)

FDIC 6410/03 (12-93)

	Summary of Violations	00000-0
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	

SU	JMMARY OF VIOLATIONS	00000-0
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	

SUBSTANTIVE VIOLATIONS

A critical violation, act, or omission that interferes with the fundamental purpose of the relevant law or regulation in relation to the institution.

None.

OTHER SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIONS

A violation, act, or omission, though not substantive, that interferes with the fundamental purpose of the relevant law or regulation in relation to the institution.

ELECTRONIC FUND TRANSFERS

Section 205.9(a)(3) of Regulation E requires a bank to describe on a terminal receipt the type of transfer and the type of the consumer's account to or from which the funds are transferred, and prohibits the use of codes that are not explained on the receipt to describe the type of transfer or the type of account(s). [285101]

Automated Teller Machine receipts provided by the bank's 12 ATM machines incorrectly describe credit card transactions as transfers to, or transfers from, a checking account. Examples are listed below:

<u>Name</u>	Account #	<u>Date</u>	
Aims, Michael	123456	6-13-XX	
Gull, James	789101	9-07-XX	
Jones, Guenever	121314	1-19-XX	

Vice President and Compliance Officer Smith stated that she was unaware of this error. During the examination, Vice President and Compliance Officer Smith stated that she would contact the bank's servicing company to correct this system-wide infraction.

REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES

Sections 3500.21(b) and 21(d) of Regulation X of the Department of Housing and Urban Development require the lender to deliver the disclosure statement relating to mortgage servicing to applicant(s) for

federally-related mortgage loans and for refinancings of mortgage loans subject to RESPA at the time of application or, if the application is not completed in person, to mail the disclosure by first-class postage within 3-business days of receipt. [144201]

Bank employees did not deliver the mortgage servicing disclosure statement at the time of application in three of ten originated real estate loans sampled. The disclosure statement was not given to the following:

FDIC 6410/XX (1/96)

Summary of Violations (Continued)		00000-0
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	

SUMMARY OF VIOLATIONS (Continued)				00000-0	
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION					
Name	<u>Date</u>	Note #	Amount	Loan Type	
Schindel, James and Kirsten Brace, David and Lauren Johnson, Ryan and Erin	03-02-XX 07-06-XX 09-27-XX	45677 89101 12344	80,000 65,000 35,000	Purchase Refinance Home Improvement	

Vice President and Compliance Officer Smith indicated these violations were attributable to oversight. However, she stated that additional training on the delivery of mortgage servicing disclosure statements would be conducted.

OTHER VIOLATIONS

Violations cited below are infrequent, sporadic or irregular deficiencies which neither individually or collectively represent serious concern for the financial institution but require corrective action where appropriate.

REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES

Scott, James and Barbara Section 3500.7(a)

Application dated: 10/23/XX The good faith estimate was not provided to the borrowers within three

Purpose: purchase primary residence business days after receipt of the customers' written

application. [130301]

Small, David and Kerri Application date: 09/19/XX

Purpose: purchase primary residence

A review of ten loan files revealed two instances where disclosures were not provided. Management stated these violations were attributable to oversight.

Gimo, Mark and Margaret 3500.8(a)

Application date: 02/15/XX The bank failed to provide the HUD-1 settlement statement.

Purpose: purchase residence [132101]

Savvy, Steve and Teresa Application date: 11/12/XX Purpose: purchase residence

A review of ten loan files revealed two instances where disclosures were not provided. Management stated these errors were attributable to oversight.

FDIC 6410/XX (1/96)

Summary of Violations (Continued)		00000-0
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	

SUMMAR	Y OF VIOLATIONS (Continued)	00000-0
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	

EXPEDITED FUNDS AVAILABILITY

Name/ Date of Hold Notice

Amount Deposited/ Amount of Held

Fields, Marshall/ 03-27-XX

Section 229.10(c)(1)(vii)

\$2732.50/ \$2712.50

Case-by-case hold notices provided to depositors did not indicate that the depositors were receiving \$100 or the aggregate amount deposited to all accounts on the next business day, whichever is less.

[5007]

Taylor, Lord/ 04-25-XX \$1767.75/ \$1767.75

A review of eight hold notices revealed two instances where customers were not allowed access to \$100. These violations were random in nature and attributable to teller oversight.

EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY

Kooster, Larry and Belinda Section 202.5a(a)(2)(I)

Application date: 11/12/XX Notice of the right to receive a copy of the appraisal was not

Purpose: purchase residence provided to the applicants. [333501]

Knight, Gerald and Patricia Application date: 07/16/XX Purpose: purchase residence

A review of ten loan files revealed two instances where the appraisal notice was not provided. Management stated these were oversights.

FDIC 6410/XX (1/96) 2.3

SUMMAR	Y OF VIOLATIONS (Continued)	
IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSACTION OR EVENT	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	

FAIR HOUSING

Lobby of Main Office

Section 338.4 of FDIC regulations requires banks to display the Equal Housing Lender Poster, which conforms to size and text specifications, in lobby areas where deposits are received or loans covered by the Act are made. [353101]

The Equal Housing Lender Poster was not on display during the examination. Management stated they had just completed some major renovations to the lobby of the bank's main office and the poster was inadvertently misplaced. During the examination, a new poster was obtained and properly displayed.

I do hereby acknowledge receipt of a co	py of this document.
Examiner Kristen I. Apple (Signature)	discussed with me in detail the apparent violations cited herein.
William Jones	William Jones 3-26-XX
Officer's name and title	Officer's signature and date
Anytown Bank	Anytown, Anystate
Institution's name	City/State
6410/XX (1/96)	2.4

COMPLIANCE - SUPERVISORY SECTION					00000		
BANK NAME Anytow	n Bank						
CITY			STATE		TOTAL ASSETS (000s)		
Anytown			Anystate		97,000		
REGION	FIELD OFF	ICE	NO. OF OFF	FICES	OFFICE	OFFICES EXAMINED	
Anytown	Aı	nytown	2		1		
CURRENT EXAMINATION DATE 02-12-XX		IINATION ARTED DATE 02-12-XX		IINATION IPLETED DATE 03-29-XX	LAST COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION DATE 03-18-XX		ION
		RA	TINGS:				
CURRENT COMPLIANCE 1-1-1/1			LAST COMPLIANCE 1-2-1/1				
CURRENT CRA	Satisfacto	ory	LAST CRA		Satisfactory		
	WORKING	HOURS		GG	IN	OUT	TOTAL
EXAMINER-IN-CHARGE: Apple, Kristen I.			12	92	104	196	
OTHER EXAMINATION STAFF:		Ross, Daniel R.		12	24		24
	Smart, U. R.		11	50	27	77	
New, Brand			E.		66	8	74
							0
							0
TOTAL HOURS					232	139	371

COMMENTS

CRA Performance Levels are as follows:

Lending TestSatisfactory-Loan-to-deposit-ratio-Meets standards-Ascertainment area(s) concentration-Meets standards-Geographic distribution of loans-Meets standards-Borrowers's profile-Meets standards-Response to CRA complaints-No complaints received

Investment and Services N/A

President William Jones confirmed that the institution did not ask to be considered for an "Outstanding" CRA rating, therefore, investment and services were not reviewed. "Small Bank" CRA examination procedures were used.

Bank of Anytown is a subsidiary of Anytown Bank Corporation, Anytown, Anystate, a one-bank holding company. No major changes in management have occurred since the last examination. President Jones has been employed by the institution for 25 years and is responsible for managing the daily operations.

Vice President and Compliance Officer Mary Smith is responsible for ensuring that the institution is in compliance with various consumer protection and fair lending laws and regulations in both operations and the lending areas and is also responsible for CRA. Management is knowledgeable and capable of administering effective compliance and CRA programs.

Fair Lending Review

A full review of the institution's compliance with fair lending was performed. A review of the 19XX and 19XX HMDA LARs revealed a high denial rate for Hispanics. To determine if disparate treatment occurred, a comparative loan file analysis was performed. The target group was Hispanics denied applications and the control group was white applicants. A total of XX files for the target group and XX for the control group were reviewed. No evidence of disparate treatment was noted.

No consumer complaints were received since the prior examination.

Future examinations

This examination was conducted concurrently with the safety and soundness examination. President Jones stated that the institution prefers concurrent examinations. The branch hours are from 9:00 am to 3:00 pm Monday through Friday. Arrangements should be made prior to the commencement of the examination for an 8:00 am start.

The following Community Contacts were conducted during the examination:

Ms. Helen Ball Urban Action Group 19245 Grant Street Anytown, Anystate 12345 123-456-7891

Mr. James Hammer City Planning Commission of Anytown 200 Main Street Anytown, Anystate 12345 123-567-8910

FDIC COMPLIANCE DATA ENTRY FORM

The hard-copy Data Entry Form has been replaced by an automated Lotus form.

Access the Data Entry Form through the automated Compliance Report of Examination Menu. It is located under item 6, Upload Menu.

<u> </u>	PART VII: REPORT FORMAT	BANK OF A	NYTOWN REPORT SUMMA	RY FORMAT	
_					00000-0
-					
FDIC 641	0/12 (12-93)	A.3			